

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

· PPV IG / TION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/751,859	12/29/2000	Oumar Nabe	17207-00007	8540
75	90 03/17/2003			
John S. Beulick			EXAMINER	
Armstrong Teasdale LLP One Metropolitan Sq., Suite 2600			CHEN, TE Y	
St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/751,859

Applicant(s)

Nabe et al.

2171

Office Action Summary

Examiner

T. Chen Art Unit

	t the the commondance address		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sneet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	3 MONTH(S) FROM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however	r, may a repty be titriety filed after one to the manufacture and the file of		
mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimals. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 18, 2002			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-fi			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	to the specific in the application		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-24</u>	is/are rejected.		
	is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement		
	4.0 300,000 10 1000100		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	and the standard to but the Everyiner		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) \sqcup -approved-b) \sqcup disapproved by the Examir		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Offic	e action.		
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in this National Stage ule 17.2(a)).		
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority ur	nger 35 U.S.C. 3 113(e).		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application	on has been received.		
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority un	10er 30 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s)	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
1) X Notice of References cited (FTO 652)	e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
2) Notice of Diansperson 31 drone Stating			
3) V Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•		

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 - 24 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicants' cooperation are requested in correcting any errors of which applicants may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,859 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

5. As to claims 1 and 13, Applicants fail to disclose when and how tools are integrated for modeling data within the relational database. What's the benefit to using these tools. Thus, the invention is not described in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

- 6. As to claims 6 and 18, Applicants have not disclosed what type of algorithm may be used for the claimed invention.
- 7. As to claims 7-8 and 19-20, Applicants fail to disclose what type of individual accounts or accounts and the properties of these accounts will be used for the claimed invention.
- 8. As to claims 8 and 20, Applicants have not specify the classes, deciles, and clusters being used for the claimed invention.
- 9. As to claims 2-12 and 14-24, these claims also have the same defects as their base claims, hence they are rejected for the same reason.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2171

10. Claims 3-6, 15-17 and 19-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 11. As to claims 3-4 and 15-16, applicant fails to distinguish the benefits and timing of using either statistical or non-statistical tools to model the relational database.
- 12. As to claim 6, Applicant fails to disclose the links between "using tools to model the relational database" and "the step of generating an algorithm" for rating models.
- 13. As to claims 7-8 and 19-20, it is not understood what is it meant by "score individual accounts"? What is the purpose to assign the accounts at least one of a numerical value, a non-numerical value and an economic worth? Furthermore, claims 8 and 20 depend on claims 7 and 19 respectively, however, the cited "at least one" condition claimed in claims 7 and 19, does not always reads on the feature -- "a non numerical value" as claimed in claims 8 and 20, thus these claims are indefinite with each other.
- 14. As to claims 5 and 17, these claims have the same defects as their base claims, hence are rejected for the same reason.

Art Unit: 2171

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 16. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as to the examiner's best understanding, being anticipated by Pasumansky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,477,536).
- 17. As to claims 1, 9-12, 13 and 21-24, Pasumansky et al. (hereinafter referred as Pasumansky) discloses a system which performs the following steps, comprising:
 - a) at least one computer [for example, see 20, 49, Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 30-38];

Art Unit: 2171

b) a server [OLAP server, 260, Fig. 3; col. 1, lines 21-27] configure to compile data from multiple source [230, 240, Fig.3] to create a relational database, using tools to model data within the relational database, [e.g., SQL Server, Oracle, Sybase, Informax databases, col. 8, lines 23-32], Score the modeled data [e.g. using the ranking tool, col. 1, lines 30-32], integrate the scores into an multi-dimensional structure [e.g., using the aggregate tool to compile scores into a cube (230, Fig. 3); col. 1, lines 30-32]; and provide access to the multi-dimensional structure via an Administrative interface [e.g., using monitor (47, Fig. 1), video adapter (48, Fig. 1) a client application (250, Fig. 3) can manipulate the virtual cube; Abstract, line 7-8; col. 8, lines 12-14]; c) a network connecting the computer to the server [e.g. Local Area Network (51, Fig. 1);

- c) a network connecting the computer to the server [e.g. Local Area Network (51, Fig. 1)]. Wide Area Network (52, Fig. 1)].
- 18. As to claims 2, 5, 14 and 17, Pasumansky further disclosed the server configured to use or compile data from user input [col. 8, lines 41-48; 250, Fig. 3].
- 19. As to claims 3-4, 6-8, 15-16 and 18-20, the claimed features are embedded in the tools applied by Pasumansky's virtual cubes system. For example, a ranking tool is a statistic software which integrates an algorithm for grouping, scoring or assigning individual account a numerical value and an aggregate interface tool is a non-statistic software for collecting data [col. 1, lines 30-32; col. 5, lines 49-53; Fig(s) 3-5].

Art Unit: 2171

Conclusion

- 20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Bakalash et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,385,604) which disclosed a system using integrated non-relational multi-dimensional data store with aggregated data elements to manage a relation database.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1155. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 4:30 P.M.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached at (703) 308-1436. The fax phone numbers for this group are: (703) 746-7238 (After Final Communication); (703) 746-7239 (Official Communications); and (703) 746-7240 (For Status Inquiries, Draft Communication).
- 23. Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Susan Chen

Feb. 26, 2003

Art Unit: 2171

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100